



## INTRODUCTION

- Kidney allocation has been challenging due to growing gap between the demand and supply of kidneys.
- The old allocation system was primarily based on waiting time and HLA matching (0-ABDR mismatches).
- On December 4, 2014, a new Kidney Allocation System (KAS) was implemented to improve the distribution of deceased donor kidneys. One of the critical changes in its allocation algorithm was reducing the priority of total HLA mismatch number.
- Since the KAS implementation, there is still a lack of knowledge on the impact of HLA mismatch on graft survival after the kidney allocation system. This information might provide insight into further improvement of kidney allocation algorithm.

Objective:

- This historical cohort study aimed to assess the effect of total number of HLA mismatch on 3-year graft and death-censored survival post kidney transplantation in the pre-KAS versus post-KAS allocation era.
- Total number of HLA mismatches = total number of HLA mismatches at the A-, B-, and DR- loci

### METHOD

- Data source = UNOS (United Network for Organ Sharing) STAR files

- Inclusion criteria: Adult recipients of a solitary kidney only, deceased donor transplant between 2011-2017.

- Adult ( $\geq$  18 years old)
- First time (Primary) kidney transplantation
- Kidney from deceased donor
- On dialysis at the time of transplant



- Statistical analysis (SAS 9.4):
- Kaplan-Meier (KM) method was used to calculate survival curves for both pre- and post-KAS, stratified on total number of HLA mismatches. Survival curves were compared by the logrank test.
- Cox proportional hazard (Cox P.H.) model was used to evaluate the effect of total HLA mismatches on graft and death-censored survival in the pre-vs. post-KAS allocation era. Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval are provided as measures of strength of association and precision, respectively, adjusted for recipient and donor characteristics.

# Mizuki Suzuki<sup>1</sup>, Carl E. Haisch<sup>2</sup>, MD, William Irish<sup>2</sup>, PhD <sup>1</sup>Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, 27858 <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, 27858

# **Pre- versus Post-Kidney Allocation System Does Not Differentially Influence** the Effect of HLA Mismatch on 3-Year Graft Survival

#### **IMPLICATIONS:**

- The implementation of KAS does not modify the effect of HLA mismatch on 3-year graft survival. This result may be due to relatively short-term follow-up. It is well known that HLA mismatch plays a cumulative role in graft loss. Thus, it may be worthwhile to further investigate this interaction with a 5-year or 10-year follow-up.
- The adjusted hazard of death-censored graft failure was notable for the effect of 6-ABDR HLA mismatch, increasing the risk by 41%. Such information may be helpful to consider in the kidney allocation process and can be further studied.



### RESULTS

Table	1: Summary of Donor C	haracteristics by Pr	Table 3: Summary of Transplant Characteristics by Pre-/Post-KAS					
Variable	Statistics or category	Pre-KAS	Post-KAS	Variable	Statistics or category	Pre-KAS	Post-KAS	
	Mean (SD)	44 67 (26 73)	43 93 (25 74)	Waiting time (days)	Median (p25-p75)	855 (387-1420)	712 (198-143	
KDPI	Median (n2E n7E)	44.07 (20.75)	42 (22.74)	Cold ischemic time (bours)	Mean (SD)	17.44 (8.74)	17.69 (8.6	
	wedian (pz5-p75)	44 (22-00)	43 (22-04)		Median (p25-p75)	16.01 (11-22.01)	16.6 (11.36-22.5	
ECD N Y				Delayed graft function	NO	14095 (71.31%)	18265 (68.88%	
	No	16403 (82.99%)	22716 (85.66%)	An the duin duation	No	2786 (14.10%)	2390 (9.019	
	Yes	3362 (17.01%)	3803 (14.34%)	Antibody induction	Yes	16979 (85.90%)	24129 (90.99%	
			Calcineurin inhibitor	No	1086 (5.49%)	1586 (5.98%		
Tab	le 2: Summary of Recipient	Characteristics by Pre	-/Post-KAS		No	1244 (6.29%)	24933 (94.029 1075 (4.059	
Variable	e Statistics or categor	y Pre-KAS	Post-KAS	Mycophenolate	Yes	18521 (93.71%)	25444 (95.95%	
Age	Mean (SD)	53.58 (12.93)	52.66 (13.20)	Corticosteroids	No	6004 (30.38%)	8278 (31.229	
ABC	Median (p25-p75)	55 (45-63)	55 (43-63)		Yes	13761 (69.62%)	18241 (68.789	
Gender	Female	7478 (37.83%)	10305 (38.86%)	Table 1-3 (	Characterist	tice).		
Gender	Male	12287 (62.17%)	16214 (61.14%)	Table 1-3 (Characteristics).				
De siel /Ethus	White	7104 (35.94%)	8214 (30.97%)	Comparisor	n of all facto	ors is stati	istically	
	Black or African America	an 7239 (36.63%)	10177 (38.38%)	significant (	n < 0.05			
	nic Hispanic	3622 (18.33%)	5418 (20.43%)	Signincant (	μ<0.03).			
	Asian	1401 (7.09%)	2017 (7.61%)	Antibo	ody inductio	on has inc	reased	
groups	AIAN	216 (1.09%)	333 (1.26%)	5% of	tor KAS im	alamanta	tion as	
	NHPI	93 (0.47%)	144 (0.54%)	J /0 al		Jemenia	liun as	
	Multiracial	90 (0.46%)	216 (0.81%)	well a	is the cPRA	.95% abo	ove.	
Diabete	s No	11669 (59.04%)	16313 (61.51%)			· · · · · · · ·		
status	Yes	8096 (40.96%)	10206 (38.49%)	Figure 1	Comparison of Tota	al HLA Mismatc	hes	
	Mean (SD)	16.29 (29.90)	18.69 (32.44)		Between Pre-KAS vs	. Post-KAS	-	
срка	Median (p25-p75)	0 (0-19)	0 (0-24)	35.00%				
	cPRA 0%	13271 (67.14%)	16797 (63.34%)	30.00%				
	cRPRA 1-79%	4533 (22.93%)	6748 (25.45%)	25.00%				
	cPRA 80-89%	933 (4.72%)	688 (2.59%)	20.00%				
срка	cRPRA 90-94%	463 (2.34%)	479 (1.81%)	15.00%				
	cPRA 95-98%	388 (1.96%)	538 (2.03%)	10.00%				
	cPRA 99-100%	177 (0.90%)	1269 (4.79%)	E 00%				
на	0-ABDR mismatch	1218 (6.16%)	855 (3.22%)	0.00%			_	
	1-ABDR mismatch	187 (0.95%)	247 (0.93%)	0.00%0-ABDR 1	-ABDR 2-ABDR 3-ABDF	A-ABDR 5-ABDR	R 6-ABDR	
	2-ABDR mismatch	742 (3.75%)	1077 (4.06%)	mismatch m	ismatch mismatch mismatc	h mismatch mismato	ch mismatch	
mismato	h 3-ABDR mismatch	2472 (12.51%)	3538 (13.34%)		Pre-KAS Post-	KAS	-	
level	4-ABDR mismatch	5354 (27.09%)	7529 (28.39%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	· · ·	ř	
	5-ABDR mismatch	6633 (33.56%)	8896 (33.55%)	<b>From figur</b>	<b>e 1</b> : Distribu	ution of to	tal HLA	
	6-ABDR mismatch	3159 (15.98%)	4377 (16.51%)	mismatcho	s hatwaan ti	ha nra- a	nd nost	
DR locu	s 0-DR mismatch	3276 (16.57%)	3731 (14.07%)					
mismate	h 1-DR mismatch	9000 (45.54%)	12970 (48.91%)	KAS was st	atistically si	gnificant		
level	2-DR mismatch	7489 (37 89%)	9818 (37.02%)	(n - 0.0001)	Post_KAC	ora had l		
AIAN - America	n Indian/Alaska Native	,405 (57.0576)	5516 (57.6270)				530-	
NHPI - Native H	lawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			ABDR misn	natches.			



Table 4: Interaction Between HLA Mismatch Outcome Interaction All-cause graft failure HLA mismatch\*KAS er Death-censored graft failure HLA mismatch\*KAS er

Table 5: Adjusted Hazard Ratio of 3-Year Graft Failure						
Outcome	Variable	Effect	HR*	95% confidence interval	P-value	
	KAS	Post-KAS	0.918	(0.873 <i>,</i> 0.965)	0.0008	
		Pre-KAS	-	-		
	HLA Mismatch	0	-	-		
All course graft		1	0.899	(0.657, 1.229)	0.5038	
failure		2	1.034	(0.861, 1.242)	0.7195	
failure		3	1.051	(0.908, 1.218)	0.505	
		4	1.021	(0.888, 1.172)	0.7731	
		5	1.134	(0.989, 1.301)	0.0718	
		6	1.132	(0.980, 1.307)	0.0929	
	KAS	Post-KAS	0.838	(0.782, 0.898)	<.0001	
		Pre-KAS	-	-		
		0	-	-		
Death-concored		1	1.031	(0.649, 1.639)	0.8965	
graft failura	HLA Mismatch	2	1.219	(0.928, 1.603)	0.1551	
grant failure		3	1.276	(1.020, 1.598)	0.0331	
		4	1.235	(0.997, 1.529)	0.0534	
		5	1.334	(1.079, 1.650)	0.0078	
		6	1.405	(1.128, 1.751)	0.0024	

		in of the the the the the		
1.000				
0.975 -	Contraction of the second			
0.950 -	- Bertanie	and a second and a		
0.925 -		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
0.900 -			the states	
0.975				and the second
0.075				
0.850	Log-R	ank P-val	ue	
0.825 -			0.0	
0.800 -	= 0.00	109		
0	200	400 600 Days Post Tx	800	1000
î.	0	HLA Mismatch Level		
Death-Cen	sored Graft Sur	vival by Total HLA Mismatc	hes in the Post	-KAS ERA
Death-Cen	isored Graft Sur	vival by Total HLA Mismatc	hes in the Post	-KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 -	isored Graft Sur	vival by Total HLA Mismatch	hes in the Post	-KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 -	sored Graft Sur	vival by Total HLA Mismatch	hes in the Post	KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 - 0.950 - 0.925 -	isored Graft Sur	vival by Total HLA Mismatc	hes in the Post	KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 - 0.950 - 0.925 - 0.900 -	isored Graft Sur	vival by Total HLA Mismatcl	hes in the Post	KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 - 0.950 - 0.925 - 0.900 - 0.875 -	isored Graft Sur	vival by Total HLA Mismatcl	hes in the Post	KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 - 0.950 - 0.925 - 0.900 - 0.875 - 0.850 -	og-Ra	vival by Total HLA Mismatc	hes in the Post	KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 - 0.950 - 0.925 - 0.900 - 0.875 - 0.850 -	og-Ra	vival by Total HLA Mismatch	hes in the Post	KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 - 0.950 - 0.925 - 0.900 - 0.875 - 0.850 - 0.850 -	og-Ra 0.002	vival by Total HLA Mismatch	hes in the Post	KAS ERA
Death-Cen 1.000 0.975 - 0.950 - 0.925 - 0.900 - 0.875 - 0.850 - 0.850 - 0.825 - 0.800 - 0.900 - 0.925 - 0.900 - 0.950 - 0.900 - 0.950 - 0.855 - 0.850 - 0.8	og-Ra 0.002	vival by Total HLA Mismatel	e B00	KAS ERA

From figure 2 (KM curves): Irrespective of KAS era, survival curves are statistically significant

between total number of HLA mismatches. • However, the difference in survival curves among HLA mismatches is greater in pre-KAS era.

and KAS Era			
F	r > ChiSq		
ra	0.7844		
ra	0.3306		

 

 Table 4 (Cox P.H.): The interaction terms for both

endpoints are not statistically significant, therefore the effect of total number of HLA mismatches is not differentially affected by the KAS allocation system.

> Table 5 (Adjusted Hazard):
>
> • For all-cause and death-censored graft failures, the KAS implementation has decreased the hazard of 3-year graft

failure by 8% and 16%, respectively. • 6-ABDR HLA mismatch was the only statistically significant effect (p=0.0024 <a djusted  $\alpha = 0.0071^{**}$ ) for increasing the risk of 3-year death-censored graft failure by 41%.

\*\*Bonferroni correction