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Rationale / Need

• Pathology is a **foundation topic** in basic science undergraduate medical education education:
  • It provides a **critical infrastructure** for subsequent medical education
  • It is **heavily tested** on the National Board of Medical Examiners **United State Medical Licensing Step 1** Examination
Discipline Content on USMLE Step 1
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Table 4: Step 1 Discipline Specifications*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Range, %*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>45–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Physiology</td>
<td>26–34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Pharmacology</td>
<td>16–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry &amp; Nutrition</td>
<td>14–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Microbiology &amp; Immunology</td>
<td>15–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Gross Anatomy &amp; Embryology</td>
<td>11–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Histology &amp; Cell Biology</td>
<td>9–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral Sciences</td>
<td>8–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Genetics</td>
<td>5–9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentages are subject to change at any time. See the USMLE website (www.usmle.org) for the most up-to-date information.
With the introduction of a **revised, organ system-focused curriculum** at the Brody School of Medicine, it is appropriate and timely to:

- Assess **what** is being taught in the Pathology course
- Assess **how** is content being taught

**Ideal**

- Make changes based on **data** rather than opinion
Preliminary Steps

• Second-year medical students enrolled in the pathology course were surveyed regarding laboratory sessions.

• Collaboration with the Duke University Pathology Course Director was undertaken.

• Literature and Google searches were undertaken to identify resources detailing validated topics and teaching modalities for the cardiovascular component of undergraduate medical pathology courses.

• Brody learning objectives were compared to those deployed at Duke and those cited in widely-used resources.

→ implementation of a Congenital Heart Disease Laboratory in the M2 Pathology Course
Index of Learning Styles: Brody 2018 - 2021

- Very similar from year-to-year
- The learning preferences of a majority of Brody Students include elements which are:
  - Sensory
  - Visual
  - Sequential


Index of Learning Styles Classes of 2018, 2019, 2020 & 2021

Reflective (50%, 55%, 46%, 46%)
Reflective Learners prefer to think through, to evaluate options, and learn by analysis. They enjoy figuring out a problem on their own. Be involved in group decision-making whenever possible.

Active (50%, 46%, 54%, 54%)
Active learners prefer to manipulate objects, do physical experiments, and learn by trying. They enjoy working in groups to figure out problems. Concentrate on summarizing situations.

Sensing (84%, 88%, 77%, 74%)
Sensory learners prefer concrete, practical, and procedural information. They look for the facts instead of being innovative. Seek out theoretical information.

Intuitive (16%, 13%, 23%, 26%)
Intuitive learners prefer conceptual, innovative, and theoretical information. They look for the meaning, but risk missing important details. Slow down and look at details that are typically skimmed.

Visual (81%, 90%, 86%, 90%)
Visual learners prefer graphs, pictures, and diagrams. They look for visual representations. Improve note taking and explaining information to others using words.

Verbal (19%, 10%, 14%, 10%)
Verbal learners prefer to hear or read information. They look for explanations with words. When reviewing notes, group information according to concept and create visual links.

Sequential (70%, 74%, 64%, 67%)
Sequential learners prefer to have information presented linearly and in an orderly manner. They put together the details in order to understand how the big picture emerges. Practice "big picture" thinking.

Global (30%, 28%, 36%, 33%)
Global learners prefer a holistic and systematic approach. They see the big picture first and then fill in the details. Self-test to explain the why, if the way can't be explain you missed critical details.
9 Lecture Sessions: Formative Assessment: All sessions include case-based, poll-style questions (TurningPoint, TurningTechnologies.com)

- Attendance: Optional
  - Attendance Bonus Points: 70% attendance

2 Laboratory Sessions: Small Groups of Students: Groups respond and review case-based questions reviewed

- Gross Organs - Normal and Abnormal: Demonstration and discussion
- Attendance: ≥ 50% of total sessions required
Course Survey: 2017

Congenital heart disease specimens had not been demonstrated in the past

- Time constraints → 20 participants
- Small size of specimens

- Since the **specimens** were **so small**, it would have been nice to have a **smaller group** that allowed us to get closer. It was a lot of blue and pink strings going in and out of places I couldn't quite see.

- These defects in general were just hard for me to picture, so seeing a specimen and a **3-D model** will **help me better conceptualize these defects** and remember the effects they have on blood flow.
Methods and Materials

• A new, optional Congenital Heart Disease Laboratory session was implemented based on the previous year’s feedback utilizing 3-D model constructs of various congenital heart pathologies based on prior survey data.

• **Survey**: Students participating in the Congenital Heart Disease Laboratory session were polled regarding:
  
  • **Usefulness** of the congenital heart lab relative to other pathology lab sessions
  
  • **Usefulness of 3-D printed models** in student understanding of congenital heart defects
3D Printing Models of Congenital Heart Disease Defects
Use of 3D Models in Education

- Limited published use of 3D models in medical education to date
- **Pediatric Resident congenital heart disease session**
  - 3D Models vs.
  - Photographs (2D)
- **Conclusion:**
  - Physical 3D models enhance resident education around the topic of tetralogy of Fallot by improving learner satisfaction.

### Usage of 3D models of tetralogy of Fallot for medical education: impact on learning congenital heart disease

Yue-Hin Loke, Ashraf S. Harahsheh, Axel Krieger and Laura J. Olivieri

**Abstract**

**Background:** Congenital heart disease (CHD) is the most common human birth defect, and clinicians need to understand the anatomy to effectively care for patients with CHD. However, standard two-dimensional (2D) display methods do not adequately convey the critical spatial information to reflect CHD anatomy. Three-dimensional (3D) models may be useful in improving the understanding of CHD, without requiring a mastery of cardiac imaging. The study aimed to evaluate the impact of 3D models on how pediatric residents understand and learn about tetralogy of Fallot following a teaching session.

**Methods:** Pediatric residents rotating through an inpatient Cardiology rotation were recruited. The sessions were randomized into using either conventional 2D drawings of tetralogy of Fallot or physical 3D models printed from 3D cardiac imaging data sets (cardiac MRI, CT, and 3D echocardiogram). Knowledge acquisition was measured by comparing pre-session and post-session knowledge test scores. Learner satisfaction and self-efficacy ratings were measured with questionnaires filled out by the residents after the teaching sessions. Comparisons between the test scores, learner satisfaction and self-efficacy questionnaires for the two groups were assessed with paired t-test.

**Results:** Thirty-five pediatric residents enrolled into the study, with no significant differences in background characteristics, including previous clinical exposure to tetralogy of Fallot. The 2D image group (n = 17) and 3D model group (n = 18) demonstrated similar knowledge acquisition in post-test scores. Residents who were taught with 3D models gave a higher composite learner satisfaction scores (P = 0.03). The 3D model group also had higher self-efficacy aggregate scores, but the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.39).

**Conclusion:** Physical 3D models enhance resident education around the topic of tetralogy of Fallot by improving learner satisfaction. Future studies should examine the impact of models on teaching CHD that are more complex and elaborate.

**Keywords:** Congenital heart disease, 3D printing, Resident education

### Graphs:

- **Satisfaction Testing**
  - Statically Significant Difference
  - Not Statically Significant Difference

- **Composite Resident Satisfaction Score**
  - 2D Drawings vs. 3D Models

- **Composite Self-Efficacy Score**
  - 2D Drawings vs. 3D Models
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Overview: 3D Printing Process

**Step 1: Obtain Patient-Specific Images**

(3dhubs.com/3d-printers/makerbot-replicator-5th-gen)

**Step 2: Create 3D Model Using Imaging Processing Software**

(doylestownhealth.org/medical-services/medical-imaging-radiology/ct-scan)

**Step 3: Optimize Model for Printing**

**Step 4: Print Model**

(3dhubs.com/3d-printers/makerbot-replicator-5th-gen)
Simplified 3D Printing Process

Step 1: Access www.SketchFab.com

Step 2: Optimize Model for Printing

Step 3: Print Model
E-Learning University Medical Center Groningen

BIO

That's me, Anna Sieben. I am a medical artist with professional experience in creating visual and interactive resources to make medicine accessible, comprehensible and engaging. As a medical illustrator with a background in medicine, I love to work where science and art meet, combining two passions of mine: the art of medicine and medical art.

Check out her website at http://www.annasieben.com
Tetralogy of Fallot: Medical Art vs Patient Specific Design

Patient Specific

Medical Art
Tetralogy of Fallot: Medical Art vs Patient Specific Design

Patient Specific

Medical Art
**Course Survey: 2017**

- **Most Useful Congenital Heart Disease Specimens:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>CV Lab Attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetrology of Fallot</td>
<td>60% (n=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transposition of the Great Vessels</td>
<td>50% (n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent Foramen Ovale</td>
<td>40% (n=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular Septal Defect</td>
<td>35% (n=7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarctation of the Aorta</td>
<td>30% (n=6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial Septal Defect</td>
<td>20% (n=4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent Ductus Arteriosus</td>
<td>20% (n=4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent Truncus Arteriosus</td>
<td>10% (n=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricuspid Atresia</td>
<td>5% (n=1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Which specimens would most benefit from a **3D model** for demonstration and evaluation?
  - Tetrology of Fallot 7
  - Transposition of Great Vessels 3
  - Truncus Arteriosus 3
  - Coarctation of Aorta 1

  (Responses: N = 7)
Transposition of the Great Vessels
3D Prints

Fused Deposition Modeling

Powder Bed Fusion

Stereolithography
3D Prints

Fused Deposition Modeling

Stereolithography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Cheap</th>
<th>Expensive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durability</td>
<td>Durable</td>
<td>Durable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finish</td>
<td>Rough</td>
<td>Smooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Unicolor</td>
<td>Unicolor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3D Prints

Fused Deposition Modeling

Price
Cheap

Durability
Durable

Finish
Rough
Unicolor

Color

Powder Bed Fusion

Price
Moderate

Durability
Fragile

Finish
Smooth
Multicolor

Color
Survey Results from 2021 Congenital Heart Lab 2018
Utility of Congenital Heart Pathology Lab

Congenital Heart Lab Usefulness

- Response Rate = 100% (32/32) participants
- Extra Credit Awarded to Students who completed the survey
  - Opportunity available to the entire class even if they did not attend congenital heart lab (77/85 total M2 students = 90.5%)
- Students made aware that names were only collected for extra credit purposes and rest of survey was anonymous
- Data collected by classmate

Likert Scale:
- 1 = Not Useful
- 5 = Very Useful
Utility of Congenital Heart Pathology Lab

Congenital Heart Lab Usefulness

- 96.9% rated 4 and 5
- Avg = 4.69

Overall Path Lab Usefulness

- 74.0% rated 4 and 5
- Avg = 4.04

Likert Scale:
- 1 = Not Useful
- 5 = Very Useful
Utility of 3-D Printed Heart Models

3-D Printed “Normal” Anatomy Model Usefulness

- Avg = 3.94
- 59.4% Useful
- 34.4% Moderately Useful
- 6.3% Slightly Useful
- 6.3% Not Useful

3-D Printed Congenital Pathology Models Usefulness

- Avg = 4.25
- 78.1% Very Useful
- 21.9% Slightly Useful

Likert Scale:
- 1 = Not Useful
- 5 = Very Useful
Exploration into Using Color Coded Models

Expected Usefulness of Color-Coded 3-D Printed Models

Avg = 4.69

Likert Scale:
- 1 = Not Useful
- 5 = Very Useful
Most Desired Models for Future Classes

The 6 choices were ranked against one another as priority for being printed as a model with a Ranking of 1 = Highest Priority

- VSD (highest priority by ranking) = 3.1 average ranking
Conclusions
Conclusions

Created multiple 3D heart models

- Normal Neonatal
- Tetralogy of Fallot
- Transposition of the Great Vessels
- Atrioventricular septal defect

Students confirm usefulness of 3D printed cardiac models

- Multicolor models highly desired by students

Optimized the 3D model for medical education

- Artistic design
- Color
- Print method
Future directions for 3D printing
# Future Directions and Next Steps

## Medical Education in “Basic Science” Coursework
- Manufacture more models
- Create models of more congenital heart pathologies
- Expand student-model interaction

## Medical Education in “Clinical” Coursework
- Echocardiographic models for clinical learning

## Patient Education
- PFO closure

## Surgical Planning
- Rib resection
- Tracheal stenosis
Basic Science Medical Education

Manufacture more models
Student-Model interaction
Survey
Explore different pathology
Clinical Medical Education

Echocardiography
Medical Education

Echocardiography
Future Directions for 3D Printing

Medical Education

Surgical Planning

Patient Education
Patient Education

Percutaneous closure of patient foramen ovale
Future Directions for 3D Printing

Medical Education

Surgical Planning

Patient Education
Future Directions for 3D Printing

Surgical Planning
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Need Models? Got Ideas?

Email: rayan16@students.ecu.edu